Affine Deodhar Diagrams and Rational Dyck Paths

CANADAM 2025

Thomas C. Martinez

UC Los Angeles

Rational Catalan Numbers

For any 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1, we define the **rational Catalan** number $C_{k,n-k}$ as the number of Dyck paths in a $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle.



Rational Catalan Numbers

For any 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1, we define the **rational Catalan** number $C_{k,n-k}$ as the number of Dyck paths in a $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle.



Proposition For any 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1,

$$C_{k,n-k}=\frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{k}.$$

Rational Catalan Numbers

For any 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1, we define the **rational Catalan** number $C_{k,n-k}$ as the number of Dyck paths in a $k \times (n-k)$ rectangle.



Proposition For any 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1,

$$C_{k,n-k}=\frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{k}.$$

When n = 2k + 1, we recover the classical Catalan numbers.

A (k, n)-Deodhar Diagram (**Deogram**) is a filling of boxes of a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle with crossings, \square , and elbows, \square , with



A (k, n)-Deodhar Diagram (**Deogram**) is a filling of boxes of a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle with crossings, \bigoplus , and elbows, \bigotimes , with

1. Strand permutation equal to identity,



A (k, n)-Deodhar Diagram (**Deogram**) is a filling of boxes of a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle with crossings, \square , and elbows, \square , with

- 1. Strand permutation equal to identity,
- 2. Exactly n 1 elbows,



A (k, n)-Deodhar Diagram (**Deogram**) is a filling of boxes of a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle with crossings, \bigoplus , and elbows, \bigotimes , with

- 1. Strand permutation equal to identity,
- 2. Exactly *n* − 1 elbows,
- 3. (Distinguished) No elbows after an odd number of crossings (from top-left).



A (k, n)-Deodhar Diagram (**Deogram**) is a filling of boxes of a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle with crossings, \square , and elbows, \mathbb{N} , with

- 1. Strand permutation equal to identity,
- 2. Exactly *n* − 1 elbows,
- 3. (Distinguished) No elbows after an odd number of crossings (from top-left).



Let $Deo_{k,n}$ denote the set of (k, n)-Deograms.

Overview

Theorem (Galashin-Lam, '21) For 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1, $Deo_{k,n}$ and $Dyck_{k,n}$ are equinumerous.



Overview

Theorem (Galashin-Lam, '21) For 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1, $Deo_{k,n}$ and $Dyck_{k,n}$ are equinumerous.



Theorem (M., '25+) For 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1, we find a bijection $Deo_{k,n} \rightarrow Dyck_{k,n}$.

Catalan Recurrence For n > 0,

$$C_n = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i-1} C_{n-i}.$$

Many bijections for Catalan objects are found recursively!

Catalan Recurrence For n > 0,

$$C_n = \sum_{i=1}^n C_{i-1} C_{n-i}.$$

Many bijections for Catalan objects are found recursively!

Proposition For any 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) = 1,

$$C_{k,n-k}=\frac{1}{n}\binom{n}{k}.$$

While we have a formula, we generally do not have a recurrence relation.

Convex Catalan Numbers

Convex Sets

Let Γ be a collection of lattice points inside a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle. We call Γ convex if it contains every lattice point of its convex hull with the diagonal.



Convex Catalan Numbers

Convex Sets

Let Γ be a collection of lattice points inside a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle. We call Γ convex if it contains every lattice point of its convex hull with the diagonal.



Convex Catalan Numbers

For every convex Γ , define $C_{\Gamma} = \# \operatorname{Dyck}(\Gamma)$, the number of lattice paths strictly avoiding Γ .



Lemma/Observation

For every convex Γ , there exists a pair of points $p_{\Gamma}, r_{\Gamma} \notin \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma' := \Gamma \cup \{p_{\Gamma}, r_{\Gamma}\}$ is convex.

Lemma/Observation

For every convex Γ , there exists a pair of points $p_{\Gamma}, r_{\Gamma} \notin \Gamma$ such that $\Gamma' := \Gamma \cup \{p_{\Gamma}, r_{\Gamma}\}$ is convex.

This gives us a simple recurrence relation.



But we need a tool to help us communicate between Deograms and Dyck paths.

But we need a tool to help us communicate between Deograms and Dyck paths.

For $\overline{f} \in S_n$, we can associate a **bounded affine permutation** $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ to \overline{f} .



But we need a tool to help us communicate between Deograms and Dyck paths.

For $\overline{f} \in S_n$, we can associate a **bounded affine permutation** $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ to \overline{f} .



We can find k, the height of the rectangle as $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (f(i) - i) = k$.

Resolving crossings.





Resolving crossings.



Inversion Multiset

We associate a lattice point for each inversion of f. The multiset $\Gamma(f)$ contains a point $\gamma(f_1^{(i,j)}) = (k, n - k)$ for each inversion (i, j), i < j, where f_1 is the cycle with i after resolving.

Resolving crossings.



Inversion Multiset

We associate a lattice point for each inversion of f. The multiset $\Gamma(f)$ contains a point $\gamma(f_1^{(i,j)}) = (k, n - k)$ for each inversion (i, j), i < j, where f_1 is the cycle with i after resolving.

Positroid Catalan Number Define $C_f := \# \operatorname{Dyck}(\Gamma(f))$.



Resolving crossings.



Inversion Multiset

We associate a lattice point for each inversion of f. The multiset $\Gamma(f)$ contains a point $\gamma(f_1^{(i,j)}) = (k, n - k)$ for each inversion (i, j), i < j, where f_1 is the cycle with i after resolving.

Positroid Catalan Number Define $C_f := \# \operatorname{Dyck}(\Gamma(f))$.



For $f_{k,n}(i) = i + k$, $\Gamma(f) = \emptyset$, so $C_{f_{k,n}} = \# \operatorname{Dyck}_{k,n-k} = C_{k,n-k}$.



=



 $S_i f S_i$ Dyck($\Gamma(S_i f S_i)$)





The positroid Catalan numbers, C_f,

- 1. recover the rational Catalan numbers, and
- 2. have a recurrence relation.































































10

























































Main Tool: Affine Deograms

Definition (M., 25+) A (maximal) f-affine Deogram is a *periodic filling* of the space between a path P with k up-steps and n - k right steps and its vertical translate with:



Main Tool: Affine Deograms

Definition (M., 25+) A (maximal) f-affine Deogram is a *periodic filling* of the space between a path P with k up-steps and n - k right steps and its vertical translate with:

1. Strand permutation equal to $f \in \mathbf{B}_{k,n}$,


Main Tool: Affine Deograms

Definition (M., 25+)

A (maximal) f-affine Deogram is a *periodic filling* of the space between a path P with k up-steps and n - k right steps and its vertical translate with:

- 1. Strand permutation equal to $f \in \mathbf{B}_{k,n}$,
- 2. (Distinguished) No elbows after an odd number of crossings,



Main Tool: Affine Deograms

Definition (M., 25+)

A (maximal) f-affine Deogram is a *periodic filling* of the space between a path P with k up-steps and n - k right steps and its vertical translate with:

- 1. Strand permutation equal to $f \in \mathbf{B}_{k,n}$,
- 2. (Distinguished) No elbows after an odd number of crossings,
- 3. (Maximal) Exactly n 1 elbows (inside a red region).



We let $AffDeo_{f,P}$ denote the set of f-affine Deograms under P.

Remark

These are similar to Affine Pipe Dreams introduced by Snider in 2010.

We let $AffDeo_{f,P}$ denote the set of *f*-affine Deograms under *P*.

Remark

These are similar to Affine Pipe Dreams introduced by Snider in 2010.

We have a bijection $Deo_{k,n} \rightarrow Aff Deo_{f_{k,n},P_{k,n}}$.



We have 3 moves on f-affine Deograms:

- 1. Box Addition/Removal
- 2. Zipper
- 3. Decoupling

We have 3 moves on f-affine Deograms:

- 1. Box Addition/Removal
- 2. Zipper
- 3. Decoupling

Motto: We change our path at index *i* and move the box up/down



Motto: We change our path at index *i* and move the box up/down



Motto: We change our path at index *i* and move the box up/down



The move B_0 is why we need affine Deograms. It has no simple "lift" to rectangular Deograms.

We have 3 moves on f-affine Deograms:

- 1. Box Addition/Removal
- 2. Zipper
- 3. Decoupling























We have 3 moves on f-affine Deograms:

- 1. Box Addition/Removal
- 2. Zipper
- 3. Decoupling

$$\#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f,P}^{\max} = \prod_{i=1}^r \#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f_i,P_i}^{\max}.$$

$$\#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f,P}^{\max} = \prod_{i=1}^r \#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f_i,P_i}^{\max}.$$

$$\#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f,P}^{\max} = \prod_{i=1}^r \#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f_i,P_i}^{\max}.$$



$$\#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f,P}^{\max} = \prod_{i=1}^r \#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f_i,P_i}^{\max}.$$



$$\#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f,P}^{\max} = \prod_{i=1}^r \#\operatorname{AffDeo}_{f_i,P_i}^{\max}.$$



Okay, what do we have so far?



We get the same recurrence for affine Deograms.



We get the same recurrence for affine Deograms.



We get the same recurrence for affine Deograms.







 $\Gamma(f)$











































5 6

2

3 5 6

-1







5 6

6

2

-1





3 5





5 6

6

2

-1





3 5





5

5 6

2

-1






To recap, we have sketched the proof of the following theorem.

Theorem (M., 25+) For any 0 < k < n with gcd(k, n) and f a repetition-free bounded affine permutation, we have a bijection

 $Deo(f) \rightarrow Dyck(\Gamma(f)).$

Rational Catalan Numbers: For $1 \le k \le n$ with gcd(k, n) = 1, the number of Dyck paths inside a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle is counted by





Rational Catalan Numbers: For $1 \le k \le n$ with gcd(k, n) = 1, the number of Dyck paths inside a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle is counted by



q, t-Rational Catalan Numbers

$$C_{k,n-k}(q,t) = \sum_{P \in \mathsf{Dyck}_{k,n-k}} t^{\operatorname{area}} q^{\operatorname{dinv}(P)}.$$

Rational Catalan Numbers: For $1 \le k \le n$ with gcd(k, n) = 1, the number of Dyck paths inside a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle is counted by



q, t-Rational Catalan Numbers

$$C_{k,n-k}(q,t) = \sum_{P \in \mathsf{Dyck}_{k,n-k}} t^{\mathsf{area}(P)} q^{\mathsf{dinv}(P)}.$$

Rational Catalan Numbers: For $1 \le k \le n$ with gcd(k, n) = 1, the number of Dyck paths inside a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle is counted by



q, t-Rational Catalan Numbers

$$C_{k,n-k}(q,t) = \sum_{P \in \mathsf{Dyck}_{k,n-k}} t^{\operatorname{area}} q^{\operatorname{dinv}(P)}.$$

Grassmannian

$$Gr(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid dim(V) = k\} = \frac{\{k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k\}}{(row operations)}$$

Grassmannian

$$Gr(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid dim(V) = k\} = \frac{\{k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k\}}{(row operations)}$$

Theorem (Knutson-Lam-Speyer, 2013) For bounded affine permutations *f*, we have a stratification

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k,n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \Pi_{f}^{\circ},$$

into open positroid varieties.

We have a unique "top cell" (largest dimension) open positroid variety, denoted $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$.

We have a unique "top cell" (largest dimension) open positroid variety, denoted $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$.

For gcd(k, n) = 1, we may write the mixed Hodge polynomial of $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$, $\mathcal{P}(\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}; q, t) \in \mathbb{N}\left[q^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$ as

$$\mathcal{P}(\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ};q,t) = (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{n-1}C_{k,n-k}(q,t).$$

We have a unique "top cell" (largest dimension) open positroid variety, denoted $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$.

For gcd(k, n) = 1, we may write the mixed Hodge polynomial of $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$, $\mathcal{P}(\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}; q, t) \in \mathbb{N}\left[q^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$ as

$$\mathcal{P}(\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ};q,t)=(q^{\frac{1}{2}}+t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{n-1}C_{k,n-k}(q,t).$$

Additionally, we have the point count as

$$\#\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1}C_{k,n-k}(q) = (q-1)^{n-1}\frac{1}{[n]_q} {n \brack k}_q$$

We have a unique "top cell" (largest dimension) open positroid variety, denoted $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$.

For gcd(k, n) = 1, we may write the mixed Hodge polynomial of $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$, $\mathcal{P}(\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}; q, t) \in \mathbb{N}\left[q^{\frac{1}{2}}, t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right]$ as

$$\mathcal{P}(\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ};q,t)=(q^{\frac{1}{2}}+t^{\frac{1}{2}})^{n-1}C_{k,n-k}(q,t).$$

Additionally, we have the point count as

$$\#\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1}C_{k,n-k}(q) = (q-1)^{n-1}\frac{1}{[n]_q} {n \brack k}_q$$
$$= \sum_{D \in \mathsf{Deo}_{k,n}} (q-1)^{\#\mathsf{elbows}(D)} q^{\#\mathsf{crossings}(D)/2}.$$

These are due to (Deodhar, 1985) and (Galashin-Lam, 2021).

Thank you!



